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Microbial biosensors are analytical devices capable of sensing substances in the environment due to the specific biological reaction of the microorganism or its parts. Construction of a microbial biosensor requires knowledge of microbial response to the specific analyte. Linking this response with the quantitative data, using a transducer, is the crucial step in the construction of a biosensor. Regarding the transducer type, biosensors are divided into electrochemical, optical biosensors and microbial fuel cells. The use of the proper configuration depends on the selection of the biosensing element. With the use of transgenic \textit{E. coli} strains, bioluminescence or fluorescence based biosensors were developed. Microbial fuel cells enable the use of the heterogeneous microbial populations, isolated from wastewater. Different microorganisms are used for different pollutants – pesticides, heavy metals, phenolic compounds, organic waste, etc. Biosensing enables measurement of their concentration and their toxic or genotoxic effects on the microbes. Increasing environmental awareness has contributed to the increase of interest for biomonitoring. Although technologies, such as bioinformatics and genetic engineering, allow us to design complex and efficient microbial biosensors for environmental pollutants, the transfer of the laboratory work to the field still remains a problem to solve.
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1 INTRODUCTION

A biosensor is a self-contained integrated device, capable of providing specific quantitative or semi-quantitative analytical information using a biosensing element connected with a transducer (IUPAC 1996, Thevenot et al., 2001). Biosensor construction, a three-step process, involves combining two elements with different characteristics. First, a biological sensing element is chosen, then a transducer is selected, and finally the biological component (detection element) is fixed to the transducer (Xu and Ying, 2011). Enzymes, antibodies, cell receptors, microorganisms, animal and plant cells or tissue cultures can be used as biorecognition components of a biosensor. Microorganisms have a huge potential for detection of a wide spectrum of chemical substances and their mixtures, they are adjustable to different reaction conditions and compared to enzymes or antibodies do not require expensive preparation processes (Shin 2010, Xu and Ying, 2011). They can be genetically modified, too. This characteristic enables the use of microbial biosensors in the fields of environmental monitoring, food safety and medicine.

2 CHOICE AND APPLICATION OF A PROPER ORGANISM

Choice of a proper microorganism for the detection of pollutants and their effects in the environment and its incorporation with the competent transducer is a key step in the development of an environmental biosensor. Bacteria and yeast are the most commonly used (Xu and Ying, 2011). The chosen microorganism must be robust and capable of specific pollutant detection in small concentrations, to ensure price efficient detection. Recently whole-cell biosensors (Chan et al., 2013; Niazi et al., 2008; Mulchandani and Rajesh, 2011; Anu Prathap et al., 2012) and microbial fuel cells (Di Lorenzo et al., 2014; Shen et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2014; Ayyaru and Dharmaligman, 2013) draw special attention on the field of environmental monitoring. Genetic engineering became important, too. We can manipulate organisms to improve mechanisms of analyte detection or express them in new organisms (Mulhandani and Rajesh, 2011). DNA segments coding for detection mechanisms can be transferred into model organisms with optimized growing conditions, such as Escherichia coli and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The organism and the detection configuration should be combined properly to achieve the best possible detection of the signal.

3 BIOSENSOR CONFIGURATION

There are three main types of microbial biosensors classified, based on different signal transducers: electrochemical, optical and microbial fuel cells (Xu and Ying, 2011).

Electrochemical transducers use the change of the electric current, potential and conductivity, caused by microbial-analyte contact. They can be further divided into amperometric, potentiometric and conductometric biosensors. Amperometric microbial biosensors operate at a fixed potential with respect to a reference electrode, and then the corresponding current is obtained due to the oxidation or reduction of electroactive species at the surface of the electrode (Xu and Ying, 2011). This configuration has been described by Yong et al. (2011), Anu Prathap et al. (2012) and Wang et al. (2013); on the other hand, the potentiometric transducer was constructed by Mulchandani and Rajesh (2011). Transducers of this kind use ion-selective electrodes to transmit the biological signal into an electric signal. They are less sensitive, produce higher relative error and a worse linear relationship between the exporting signal and the concentration of the detected analyte (Xu and Ying, 2011). As it is obvious from their name, conductometric biosensors measure changes in conductivity of the media, caused by the target analyte. Although the conductance measurements are extremely sensitive, the detection of solution conductance is considered to be nonspecific (Xu and Ying, 2011).

Optical biosensors can be defined as sensor devices that make use of optical principles, such as bioluminescence, fluorescence and colorimetry for transduction of a biochemical interaction into a suitable output signal (Xu and Ying, 2011). The use of genetic engineering enables an expression of fluorescence and bioluminescence in the target organism. Scientists report of luciferase (Niazi et al., 2008; Shin, 2010; Chan et al., 2013) and green fluorescent protein (GFP) applications (Wei et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2015). Microbial fuel cells (MFC) are bioelectrochemical devices that produce electrical energy through the action of specific microbes (known as anodophiles), capable of transferring the electrons generated from the oxidation of organic compounds (the fuel) to an anode electrode (Di Lorenzo et al., 2014). In a typical two-chamber MFC (Fig. 1), the electrons are absorbed by the anode and are transported to the cathode through an external circuit. After crossing a proton exchange membrane, the protons enter the cathodic chamber where they combine with oxygen to form water (Du et al., 2007). Electric current, produced by fuel oxidation, can serve as a transducer of a microbial response to the analyte. Due to their simple design and low cost, single-chamber MFC, where the cathode is exposed to air, are extensively used in envi-
MICROBIAL BIOSENSORS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING

Organisations, like WHO and FAO, have realised the negative effect of pollutants on human health (Bereza-Malcolm et al., 2015). Together with their concern grows the need for monitoring of dangerous substances in the environment. Pollutant residues can eventually accumulate in our food and drinking water. Food quality control systems are established to prevent that kind of cases. A biosensor, used instead of conventional chemical monitoring methods, must be easy to use, cost-efficient, stable when stored and capable of the detection of small amounts of the analyte. It must have a low detection limit and a short response time (Kumar et al., 2006). Transferring a working microbial biosensor under controlled laboratory conditions onto the field requires a lot of work and optimization. What follows is an overview of current trends in the field of environmental microbial monitoring.

4 ENVIRONMENTAL APPLICATIONS

Organisations, like WHO and FAO, have realised the negative effect of pollutants on human health (Bereza-Malcolm et al., 2015). Together with their concern grows the need for monitoring of dangerous substances in the environment. Pollutant residues can eventually accumulate in our food and drinking water. Food quality control systems are established to prevent that kind of cases. A biosensor, used instead of conventional chemical monitoring methods, must be easy to use, cost-efficient, stable when stored and capable of the detection of small amounts of the analyte. It must have a low detection limit and a short response time (Kumar et al., 2006). Transferring a working microbial biosensor under controlled laboratory conditions onto the field requires a lot of work and optimization. What follows is an overview of current trends in the field of environmental microbial monitoring.
parathion have been tested with the biosensor and a gas chromatography analysis. Biosensoric analysis was based on the ratio between the hydrolysed methyl parathion and the amount of the colourfull product – p-nitrophenol. The methods have comparable results, but the biosensoric analysis is more cost-efficient. The developed biosensor showed a lower detection limit compared to other similar devices. The same detection principle of the p-nitrophenol as a hydrolysing product of the methyl parathion, was applied by Kumar and D’Souza (2010). They immobilized bacteria Sphingomonas JK1 on the bottom side of the microplate and linked them with an optical plate reader, to form an optical biosensor. This system enables multiple sample detection on one plate. Biosensor can detect 4–80 µM concentrations of methyl parathion and can be reused up to 75 times. Kumar and D’Souza (2011) also report of a recombinant E. coli, peri-plasmically expressing enzymes for methyl parathion hydrolysis, as a biorecognition element. Microbial cells were immobilized on a screen printed electrode, using glutaraldehyde. The researchers observed the changes in the electric current, caused by different concentrations of the methyl parathion. Biosensor showed good selectivity – it did not react to the addition of glucose, sucrose and endosulfan; the response to the phenol and p-aminophenyl sulfate was insignificant and had good stability – it preserved 80 % of the enzymatic activity after being used in 32 reactions. Expression of the organophosphate hydrolase on the surface of the cells is efficient, its sensitivity can be improved by the application of the genetic engineering methods.

Recombinant biofilm forming bacteria Moraxella, containing the ICN protein from the Pseudomonas syringae INA5, are capable of the detecting 1 µM methyl parathion and 0.2 µM paraoxon (Mulchandani and Rajesh, 2011). Overexpression of the linA2 gene, encoding the γ-hexachlorocyclohexane dehydrochlorinase (LinA2) in E. coli BL21, has been used by Anu Prathap et al. (2012) for the development of a sensitive, selective and fast electrochemical biosensor. LinA2 protein catalyses the dehydrochlorination of lindane into trichlorobenzene, forming HCl as a by-product and causing an increase in the conductivity of the cell microenvironment that can be detected with the pulse amperometry. Authors report about the detection limit of 2 ppt for lindane.

E. coli is among the most frequently used organisms in the field of microbial biosensors. A bioluminescent strain PGRFM, including luxCDABE operon and promoter region of the pgi gene, important for the metabolic answer to the oxidative stress, was applied by Niazi et al. (2008) for the construction of an optical biosensor. The sensor showed excellent response to the methyl viologen, pesticide that causes the induction of reactive oxygen species, with the detection limit of 0.6 ppm, when exposed to starving conditions. Another detection principle for the toxicity of ametryn, fenamiphos and endosulfan was reported by Yong et al. (2011). Amperometrically working ferricyanid was used as a redox probe to measure the overall toxicity of the chemicals on the E. coli respiration. Endosulfan was the most toxic, with the IC_{50} = 5.7 mg/L.

### 4.2 HEAVY METALS

Heavy metals are extensively used in several industry branches such as mining, metallurgical, electronics, electroplating and metalfinishing (Wang et al., 2013). The main threats to human health from heavy metals are associated with exposure to lead, cadmium, mercury and arsenic (Järup, 2003). Standard detection techniques – spectrometry, ionic chromatography, potentiometric electrodes – are expensive, sometimes time consuming and require high skilled technicians. Development of simple methods, suitable for field application, is the priority in the field of heavy metal analysis. Biosensor detection is among them.

Yüce et al. (2010) reported on the inclusion of the cyanobacterium Phormidium as the biosensing element of an amperometrical biosensor. Heat treated dead cyanobacterial biomass was mixed with carbon dust and added to a steal rod to form an electrode, capable of the detection of Pb(II) in water solution. The Ag/AgCl reference electrode and platinum wire as counter electrode were also the part of the apparatus that measures the changes in the electric field, induced by heavy metal water solution. Results showed good stability and repeatability, a hypothetical limit of detection was set for 5 X 10^{-8} M.

Microbial fuel cells (MFC) became important as well. The presence of a pollutant in wastewater can inhibit the metabolic activity of the electrochemically active bacteria, leading to the reduced electron transfer and weak current production. Single-chamber air–cathode MFC, enriched with real domestic wastewater have been applied by Shen et al. (2013) for the detection of Cu (II). They were interested in the response of a biofilm, formed by microorganisms in wastewater, at different flow rates. Higher feed rate causes higher shear rate in the surrounding of the MFC, leading to the overproduction of the extracellular polymeric substances and reduced biosensor sensitivity.

The effect of metals on microbes can be also measured with an oxidative stress biosensor. Ooi et al. (2015) constructed a biosensor, using E. coli DH5α“ transformed with pRSET-roGFP2 plasmid that enables fluorescent de-
tection of arsenic induced oxidative stress. The biosensor is fast, efficient and enables detection down to 0.2 µg/l of arsenic. The same microorganism was used by Arias-Barriero et al. (2010) for the detection of Cd^{2+}, Cu^{2+}, Pb^{2+}, Zn^{2+} and arsenite. The described biosensor is even more sensitive and enables the detection down to $1 \times 10^{-7}$ mg/l arsenite, 0.001 ppm copper and zinc ions, 0.01 ppm cadmium ion and 5 ppm lead ions.

A continuous flow of the analyte to the biosensor is the most recent improvement in the field of biomonitoring. Kim et al. (2015) incorporated *E. coli* DH5 in a microfluidic device, capable of feeding nutrients and various concentrations of heavy metals ions under continuous-feed mode, for the detection of Pb^{2+} and Cd^{2+}. The detection mechanism is based on the negative control of the GFP reporter gene, mediated by CadC-type transcriptional repressors, which bind to Pb^{2+} or Cd^{2+} divalent ions and derepress the GFP reporter promoters. They observed 3–4 fold increase in the sensitivity of the biosensor and good specificity dynamics to detect Pb^{2+} in Cd^{2+}, comparing to conventional batch-type detection modes.

An alternative approach in the construction of a biosensor for the detection of heavy metal pollution on the field enables synthetic biology. The environmental pressure – oscillation in the temperature, pH, access to the nutrients and toxicants in the environment affect a diverse set of regulatory elements, controlling the downstream signal cascade (Berea-Malcolm et al., 2015). Microbial biosensor can be constructed de novo, using regulatory elements for the production of new genetic circuits. The authors estimate that this biosensor application can solve the problem of weak specificity and the toxic nature of heavy metals to the microbial chassis in real world applications.

### 4.3 TOXICITY AND GENOTOXICITY

The overall effect of the pollutants on the environment cannot be determined without an estimation of their toxicity. For the measurements of toxicity of water and ground samples, we use commercially developed tests – Mictorox® and ToxAlert® with *Vibrio fischeri*, Cellsense® with *Escherichia coli* (Rodriguez-Mozaz et al., 2004). They use fluorescent and amperometric detection. These systems no longer fulfil the need for monitoring of the toxicants in the environment, so the development of new methods is of great interest.

The secondary plant metabolites can show antimicrobial activity. Chan et al. (2013) developed two biosensors for the evaluation of aldehyde and phenolic terpenes and isothiocyanate on the microbes. The first biosensor combined the characteristics of commercial biosensors – they used *E. coli* HB101 with the luxCDABE gene from *V. fischeri*, the other biosensor consisted of *Acinetobacter baylyi* ADP1_PaPI, transformed with the luxCDABE gene from *Photorhabdus luminescens*. These transgenic bacteria produce light in the presence of toxicants, damaging the DNA; the intensity of the light is directly correlated with the recA expression level. RecA is an essential DNA repair gene. Isothiocyanate and cinnamaldehyde are the most toxic substances for *E. coli* – they mechanistically damage plasmalemma, weaken the cell metabolism and the production of the energy, but they do not activate recA *A. baylyi* – it is less plausible that they damage the microbial genome.

Many studies examine the toxicity of heavy metals. An amperometrical microbial biosensor ToxTell applies different microbial species as a biosensing element, giving the optimal results of the toxicity of the real samples (Wang et al., 2013). The test organisms, *Psychrobacter* bacteria, isolated from the wastewater plant were immobilized on a polycarbonated screen printed electrode membrane. They investigated the toxicity of Cu^{2+}, Cd^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, Cr^{6+}, Hg^{2+} and Pb^{2+} to determine the EC_{50} value. The highest EC_{50} value was observed for Pb – 110 mg/l, the lowest for Hg – 0.8 mg/l. The toxicity of the metals increases with the decrease of particle size, as shown by Ivask et al. (2014). They investigated the toxicity of the silver nanoparticles, according to their size, to bacteria *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, yeast *S. cerevisiae* and microalgae *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*. The latter showed the highest sensitivity. Liu et al. (2014) reported on the use of MFC as a real-time wastewater toxic shock biosensor. They monitored the response of the microbes, isolated from a wastewater plant, to the shocks of Cr^{6+}, Fe^{3+}, NO_{3}^{-} and sodium acetate. The growth of a biofilm on the anodic electrode was observed after five days. The biofilm enables the support and protection for electrogenic bacteria and improves the biosensor specificity – it can differentiate the chromium, iron, nitrate and sodium acetate shock. Single-chamber air–cathode MFC was used by Di Lorenzo et al. (2014) for the detection of cadmium. At optimal pH and temperature, the addition of cadmium in feeding water caused immediate change in the outgoing current. The biosensor enabled the detection of cadmium in the range of 1 to 50 µg/l.

In an extensive study of the application of yeast in a hypersensitive biosensor, capable of automatic detection of a broad spectrum of genotoxic pollutants, Wei et al. (2013) used transformed and mutated *S. cerevisiae* BY4741 cells to establish their response on genotoxic chemicals (methyl sulfonylethene (MMS), 4-nitroquinolinolined-oxide (4-NQO), phleomycin, hydrogen peroxide, tert butyl hydroperoxide methyl viologen, chlorambucil
Table 1: Overview of the biosensors, according to the target analyte, used microorganism, transducer type and detection specificity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Microorganism</th>
<th>Transducer type</th>
<th>Detection limit (LOD), EC_{50} or IC_{50}</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methyl parathion</td>
<td>Flavobacterium</td>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>LOD = 0.3 µM</td>
<td>Kumar et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl parathion</td>
<td>Sphingomonas JK1</td>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>Detection range: 4–80 µM</td>
<td>Kumar and D’Souza (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl parathion</td>
<td>Recombinant E. coli</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
<td>LOD = 0.5 µM</td>
<td>D’Souza (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl parathion, paraoxon</td>
<td>Recombinant Moraxella</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
<td>Methil parathion: LOD = 1 µM, paraoxon: LOD = 0.2 µM</td>
<td>Mulchandani and Rajesh (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindane</td>
<td>Recombinant E. coli BL21</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
<td>LOD = 2 ppt</td>
<td>Anu Prathap et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl viologen</td>
<td>Recombinant E. coli</td>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>LOD = 0.6 ppm</td>
<td>Niazi et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCP, ametryn, endosulfan, fenamiphos</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
<td>IC_{50} = 5.7–22 mg/L</td>
<td>Yong et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Phormidium</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
<td>LOD = 2.5*10^{-6} M</td>
<td>Yüce et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Heterogeneous microbial populations</td>
<td>MFC</td>
<td>LOD = 5 ppm</td>
<td>Shen et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenite, selenite</td>
<td>Recombinant E. coli DH5α™</td>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>Arsenite: LOD = 0.2 µg/l, selenite: LOD = 5.8 ng/l</td>
<td>Ooi et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium, copper, lead, zinc, arsenite</td>
<td>Recombinant E. coli DH5α™</td>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>Pb: LOD = 5 ppm, Cd: LOD = 0.01 ppm, Cu, Zn: LOD = 0.001 ppm, arsenite: LOD = 1*10^{-7} mg/l</td>
<td>Arias-Barierro et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, cadmium</td>
<td>Recombinant E. coli DH5</td>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Kim et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isothiocyanate, cinnamaldehyde</td>
<td>Recombinant E. coli HB101</td>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Chan et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isothiocyanate, cinnamaldehyde</td>
<td>Acinetobacter baylyi ADP1</td>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Chan et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper, cadmium, zinc, chromium, mercury, lead</td>
<td>Psychrobacter</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
<td>EC_{50}: 0.8–110.1 mg/l</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver nanoparticles</td>
<td>E. coli, P. fluorescens, S. cerevisiae, P. subcapitata</td>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>EC_{50}: 0.01–8.17 mg/l</td>
<td>Ivask et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium, iron, nitrate, sodium acetate</td>
<td>Heterogeneous microbial populations</td>
<td>MFC</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Liu et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Electroactive mixed bacteria</td>
<td>MFC</td>
<td>Detection range: 1–50 µg/l</td>
<td>Di Lorenzo et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMS, 4-NQO, phleomycin, hydrogen peroxyde, tert butyl hydroperoxide, methyl viologen, chlorambucil and cisplatin</td>
<td>S. cerevisiae BY4741</td>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>4-NQO: LOD = 0.12 ng/ml MMS: LOD = 0.36 µg/ml</td>
<td>Wei et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, copper, 3,5-DCP, benzene, toluene, bronopol</td>
<td>Recombinant E. coli HB101</td>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>EC_{50}: 0.09–21.0 mg/l</td>
<td>Horsburgh et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechol</td>
<td>Lactobacillus</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
<td>Detection range: 0.5–5.0 mM</td>
<td>Sagiroglu et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MICROBIAL BIOSENSORS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING

and cisplatin). Transcripts for RNR3 and HUG1 genes that work as a sensor due to their overexpression induced with DNA damage were linked with the yEGFP reporter gene, enabling the fluorescent detection. They report of improved detection at mutants with five or seven genes deleted. The highest sensitivity was observed with quintuple and septuple mutants. The septuple mutant of the HUG1 sensor gene showed the greatest sensitivity (relative sensitivity: 0.12 ng/ml for 4-NQO and 0.36 µg/ml for MMS). Real-time monitoring is a key in the cases of large spills of toxicants to ensure an immediate response and reduce the negative effects on the environment (Di Lorenzo et al., 2014). On-line microbial biosensor can be used for automatized detection of toxicity. The E. coli HB101 cell suspension transformed with the pUCD607 plasmid with a lux CDABE insert was applied by Horsburgh et al. (2002) for the detection of the toxicity of environmental samples from a metal plating plant, a paper mill and a distillery. They constructed a pump system, enabling continuous flow of the cells, mixed with the samples to a light detection unit. This biosensor is sensitive on a broad spectrum of chemicals (zinc, copper, 3,5-DCP, benzene, toluene, bronopol), the EC50 values measured with a biosensor for zinc and bronopol were significantly more reliable than EC50 measured by batch mode in a cuvette. The biosensor of this kind enables quick and cheap making of environmental samples fingerprint, without the use of chemicals.

4.4 PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS

Phenolic compounds that appear in the environment originate from the paper and pulp industry and from the production of drugs, dyes, and antioxidants (Rodriguez-Mozaz et al., 2004). Lyophilised cells of the bacteria Lactobacillus, that were immobilised on a teflon-membrane oxygen electrode, work as a practical biosensor, suitable for the detection of catechol in wastewater and dairy products (Sagiroglu et al., 2011). The sensor measures the difference in the concentration of dissolved oxygen depending on the concentration of catechol and shows good sensitivity, substrate specificity, repeatability and cost-efficiency. Aromatic compounds raise special awareness due to their toxicity and environmental resistance. The microbial activation mechanism, triggering the NahR regulatory protein synthesis in the presence of salicylate, was used by Shin (2010) for the construction of a biosensor. The E. coli DH5α was transformed with a pNRSAL plasmid containing the nahR gene and luciferase reporter gene, for the bioluminescent detection of salicylate. The response of the mutants, introduced by side directed mutagenesis at the residues 169 and 248 of the nahR gene was compared to the response of the wild type organism. The substitution of the amino acids leads into drastic changes in the microbial response to salicylate, including the 50-fold increase of sensitivity.

4.5 BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND

Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD or BOD5) can be measured by a dedicated BOD test that applies aerobic microorganisms that consume the organic compounds in water systems for biochemical decomposition (Chee, 2013). BOD represents the oxygen used for neutralisation of organic compounds in 5 days, at 20 °C. Its conventional determination is time consuming and requests an expert to achieve repeatable results (Ayyaru and Dharmaligman, 2013). The use of biosensor enables us to avoid long-lasting incubation. They are mainly appropriate for the detection of BOD in samples with high concentration of easy-degradable organic compounds. Chee (2013) used five microorganisms (P. putida SG10, P. fluorescens IAM12022, P. putida IAM1236, B. subtilis IAM12118, T.cutaneum IFO10466) that were immobilized on a porous cellulose-nitrate membrane of an oxygen electrode for the detection of BOD in river samples. All of the organisms were exposed to artificial wastewater and standard solutions of glucose and glutaminic acid. The most sensitive one (P. putida SG10 with the detection limit of 0.5 mg/l) was applied for the characterization of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salicylate</th>
<th>Recombinant E. coli DH5α</th>
<th>Optical</th>
<th>LOD = 0.1 µM</th>
<th>Shin (2010)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>P. putida SG10</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
<td>Detection range: 0.5–10 mg/l</td>
<td>Chee (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>Electroactive mixed bacteria</td>
<td>MFC</td>
<td>Detection range: 100–750 ppm</td>
<td>Ayyaru and Dharmaligman (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>Heterogeneous microbial populations</td>
<td>MFC</td>
<td>Detection range: 3–164 ppm</td>
<td>Di Lorenzo et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>Heterogeneous microbial populations</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
<td>Detection range: 20–450 mg/l</td>
<td>Vaiopoulou et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Microorganisms are appropriate biosensing elements for the construction of environmental pollutants biosensors. They are used for the detection of heavy metals, pesticides, phenolic compounds, BOD and toxicity or genotoxicity. MFC and whole cell biosensors are the most frequently used biosensor types. The development of genetic engineering enables organism manipulation and improved action of the sensory system. The majority of biosensors stated above, show excellent performance in laboratory conditions, but are not yet all optimized for field applications.

5 SUMMARY

Microorganisms are appropriate biosensing elements for the construction of environmental pollutants biosensors. They are used for the detection of heavy metals, pesticides, phenolic compounds, BOD and toxicity or genotoxicity. MFC and whole cell biosensors are the most frequently used biosensor types. The development of genetic engineering enables organism manipulation and improved action of the sensory system. The majority of biosensors stated above, show excellent performance in laboratory conditions, but are not yet all optimized for field applications.
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