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**ABSTRACT**

Bacteria belonging to or resembling the genus *Butyrivibrio* represent a significant proportion of bacterial isolates from different mammalian gastro-intestinal tracts from all over the world. The main characteristic of this genus is production of butyric acid, which has an important role in maintaining colonic health. The rumen strains – *B. fibrisolvens* are also known producers of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA), bacteriocins and several hydrolytic enzymes that contribute to effective forage digestion. Genus *Butyrivibrio* contains isolates that are very diverse in both genomic and phenotypic properties. Different researchers have proposed the grouping of isolates on the basis of some specific characteristics but up to now none was recognised as a new species. Therefore, a complex approach is needed to set basis for new species delineation and characterisations and to define a set of reasonable diagnostic methods for their discrimination.
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**INTRODUCTION**

There has been extensive effort done in investigating the rumen ecosystem from different point of views in the last 50 years (Hobson, 1997). Special attention was paid to microbial
diversity among rumen bacteria, archea, fungi and protozoa. Several genera and species were defined and classified. Bryant and Small (1956) isolated anaerobic, nonsporeforming, monotrichous, curved rods that fermented glucose with the production of relatively large amounts of butyric acid. They stained Gram-negatively although later electron studies revealed typical Gram-positive ultrastructure of their cell wall that is too thin to retain the Gram stain complex during decoloration (Cheng and Costerton, 1977). This fact was confirmed also by studies of antibiotic sensitivities (Hespell et al., 1993) and electron microscopy (Cheng et al., 1989; Beveridge, 1990; Hespell et al., 1993). Those bacteria that corresponded to the above characteristics were placed in the new genus *Butyrivibrio*. Great diversity was observed among the isolates and the type strain (D1<sup>T</sup>, ATTC 19171) was chosen to accommodate apparent common properties. No other correlation among other isolates could be determined at that time, so only one species was recognised and named *B. fibrisolvens*. This had been the only species for a long time. A new representative of the same genus was defined as *B. crossotus* (Moore et al., 1976). It was isolated from human feces and its characteristics resembled those of the rumen relative. These are the only recognised species of the genus *Butyrivibrio* at the moment (Euzéby, 1997 – the frequently updated list of accepted bacterial names with standing in the nomenclature).

**THE ROLE OF BUTYRATE-PRODUCING BACTERIA IN THE GASTRO-INTESTINAL TRACT**

Communities of microorganisms in gastro-intestinal tract are believed to contribute to healthy gut function in a variety of ways, including protection against pathogens and production of nutrients for the colonic mucosa. Short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) formed by microbial fermentation have a beneficial effect on colonic epithelial cells (Barcenilla et al., 2000). SCFA stimulate both epithelial cell proliferation to exceed the rate of epithelial cell loss and might be an important physiologic stimulus to maintain the normal tissue mass. The effect is dose-dependent and varies among acids: n-butyric exerts the highest impact followed by propionic and acetic acid, respectively. SCFA have the stimulatory effect at neutral pH in protonated form. This effect is abolished by lowering the pH when accumulation of poorly absorbable acids (lactate and succinate) occurs. This shift of bacterial metabolism from SCFA to succinic and lactic acid can modify the epithelial cell kinetics considerably. Therefore it should be important to keep the lumen pH of large intestine above 6.0 to maintain normal cell number (Sakata and Inagaki, 2001). Butyrate in particular has an important role in the metabolism and normal development of colonic epithelial cells and has been implicated in protection against cancer (stimulates apoptosis of transformed cells) and ulcerative colitis (Barcenilla et al., 2000). In pigs, rats and humans n-butyrate and glutamine are major cell substrates. The intestinal flora can control the expression of target genes involved in the metabolism of epithelial colonic cells (mitochondrial 3-hydroxy 3-methyl glutaryl CoA synthase, controlling ketone body production from n-butyrate; and glutaminase responsible for the first step of glutamine utilisation in these cells) through n-butyrate production (Cherbury et al., 2001). Butyrate production is strongly influenced by feed composition (Barcenilla et al., 2000). There have been several studies investigating the diverse butyrate-producing microorganisms among which the most widely studied are bacteria from the genus *Butyrivibrio*. The representatives of this genus, especially strains currently constituting the species *B. fibrisolvens*, are of great importance because they have many hydrolytic enzymes important for forage digestion (Stewart et al., 1997) and produce bacteriocins (Kalmkoff et al., 1997) and conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) as well (Kepler et al., 1966). It is believed that *B. fibrisolvens* is one of the few, if not the only one, rumen bacterium capable to form CLA during the process of biohydrogenation of linoleic acid, but further work
will be needed to define the role of *B. fibrisolvens* and other ruminal bacteria in ruminant CLA production (Kim *et al.*, 2000). CLA exhibits anticancerogenic effects, reduces the development of artherosclerosis and may regulate energy metabolism and nutrient partitioning resulting in reduction of body fat and enhancing lean body mass (Pariza *et al.*, 1999; Dugan *et al.*, 1999; Kritchevsky, 2000). Many bacteriocins have already found their application in extending shelf life as well as for controlling pathogenic bacteria (Kalmokoff and Teather, 1997) and so might butyrivibriocins (bacteriocins produced by strains of the genus *Butyrivibrio*) as well.

**DIVERSITY OF BACTERIA BELONGING TO THE GENUS BUTYRIVIBRIO**

Great diversity among different strains of *B. fibrisolvens* was already observed by Bryant and Small (1956), but nevertheless, only one species was defined. This variability in the characteristics was also observed later by many other independent studies. Species concept in microbiology cannot be easily defined and there is no official species definition today in microbiology, like there are no absolute boundaries for species delineation. The analyses of the 16S rRNA sequences (or genes coding for them) have been extensively applied for this purpose. But species definition can not and may not be based solely on one characteristic of the organism. It has been widely accepted among microbial taxonomists that species can be defined and delineated only after analyses of as many genomic and phenotypic characteristics as possible (Roselló-Mora and Amann, 2001). This is known as 'polyphasic taxonomy' (Vandamme *et al.*, 1996). A very good review of species definition was made by Roselló-Mora and Amann (2001) who also proposed that species could be defined as 'a monophyletic and genomically coherent cluster of individual organisms that show a high degree of overall similarity in many independent characteristics, and is diagnosable by a discriminative phenotypic property'.

**Genomic diversity**

Genomic diversity is being determined by methods that focus on DNA or RNA nucleotide sequences. This approach prevails in modern taxonomic studies because of immense development of these methods and also because of the present prevailing belief that classification should reflect genotypic relationships as it is written in the genome (Vandamme *et al.*, 1996).

One of the classic methods is determination of DNA base ratio (G+C content) and is also part of the standard bacterial species description. In general representatives of well defined species should have G+C content within 5 mol% and representatives of the same genus within 10 mol% range (Roselló-Mora and Amann, 2001). G+C content of the DNA from *B. fibrisolvens* strains is between 39 and 48 mol% G+C, and majority falls in the range 39–42 mol% G+C (Mannarelli, 1988). Close relatives have following mol% G+C: *B. crossotus* 37% (Moore *et al.*, 1976) and *Pseudobutyrivibrio ruminis* 40% (van Gylswyk *et al.*, 1996).

Genome similarities can be determined also by different techniques of DNA-DNA hybridisations. Wayne *et al.* (1987) proposed that species generally would include strains with approximately 70% or greater DNA-DNA relatedness and with 5 °C or less ∆Tm (thermal denaturation midpoint). However Roselló-Mora and Amann (2001) suggest the wider range should be considered. Relative binding ratio (RBR) should be in range 50–70% and ∆Tm 5–7 °C. Several studies were made for *Butyrivibrio* strains. Mannarelli (1988) divided the strains in five groups according to the RBR, determined by two independent methods. Within the groups the RBR values were higher than 75%, whereas RBR with representatives of other groups were less than 50% (the majority less than 30%). The diversity was confirmed by the same method also by Hudman and Gregg (1989). Mannarelli *et al.* (1990) conducted comparative studies where analysis of extracellular polysaccharides (EPS) was included along with
hybridisation studies. The grouping based on hybridisation values and neutral sugar contents of EPS coincided.

Over the last 25 years, techniques involving the analysis of rRNA or of the genes coding for rRNA (rDNA) have revolutionised prokaryotic taxonomy. There are several publicly accessible databases where 16S rRNA (or rDNA) sequences are deposited. Different algorithms and models of DNA analyses are used for sequence comparisons what usually results in construction of phylogenetic trees that show the most probable evolutionary pathway and relatedness among investigated microorganisms. But we have to be aware that those results do not necessarily reflect real historic development, because the results were obtained by comparing of only one trait. The better way is comparing as many characteristics as possible. It is expected that strains of the same species share more than 97% rRNA sequence similarities, but there are also some exceptions. Bacterial species definition can never be solely based on sequence similarity of rRNAs, but this can be quite a good orientation point. To date every new species description must include 16S rRNA sequence which is also deposited to public databases (Roselló-Mora and Amann, 2001). For the strains of the genus Butyrivibrio complete (Forster et al., 1996) or partial (Willems et al., 1996; Kopečný et al., 2001) 16S rRNA sequence comparisons were made and great diversity was determined. Minority of the strains had 16S rRNA sequence similarities higher than 97%. The majority of the strains had similarity among them less than 95%, in some cases even less than 90%. Forster et al. (1997) used oligonucleotide probes for studying those sequences. They confirm older findings of genetic heterogenity of this genus and propose grouping of the strains in at least three (Willems et al., 1996; Forster et al., 1996; Forster et al. 1997) or five (Kopečný et al., 2001) separated groups of cluster XIVa (Collins et al., 1994) of the Clostridium subphylum of the gram-positive bacteria. Kopečný et al. (2001) and Marinšek Logar et al. (2000) empowered that division with comparative analyses of cellular fatty acid analysis (FAMES). They all agree that many characteristics of the type strain D1T are not very close to those of the majority of the strains that are confirmed to belong to the same genus. It is likely that the type strain is not closely related to other Butyrivibrio isolates (Manarelli et al., 1990; Hudman and Gregg, 1989; Forster et al., 1996).

Phenotypic diversity

Phenotype is the visible or otherwise measurable physical and biochemical characteristic of the organism, which is the result of the interaction of genotype and environment. It is necessary to standardise the treatment, inoculation and incubation of the organisms to compare the results from different studies credibly. The quantity or the ratios of substances or traits is considerably affected by the environmental conditions.

Classical phenotypic tests constitute the basis for the formal description of taxa and include description of morphological, physiological and biochemical traits. There are several commercial biochemical tests available, e.g. API and Biolog. However they have a limited scope of use depending on taxa that is to be investigated. The API tests showed to give no valuable information on taxonomic grouping of bacteria in the genus Butyrivibrio (Kopečný et al., 2001; Marinšek Logar et al., 2000). Already Bryant and Small (1956) found out that strains joined together to form one species B. fibrisolvens differed a lot in phenotypic characteristics. Similar conclusions were made after analysing cell wall structure (Hespell et al., 1993), fermentation of different substrates (Margherita et al., 1964; Shane et al., 1969; Kopečný et al., 2001; Marinšek Logar et al., 2000), fermentation products (Diez-Gonzales et al., 1999), antigen determinants (Hazlewood et al., 1986) and bacteriocin production and sensitivity towards them (Kalmokoff and Teather, 1997). Groupings of the isolates made by different methods and authors are difficult to compare because the authors usually analysed their own isolates and no study involves all the strains and all of the methods (Table 1). Nevertheless some strains were included in several
independent studies – grouping based on the respective results sometimes coincide, but this is not always the case. Therefore it would be necessary to examine which methods are the most appropriate for the species description and identification in the genus *Butyrivibrio*.

Table 1. *Butyrivibrio* strains most commonly analysed by different authors (abbreviations in the last 8 columns in the first row), their origins and grouping according to the individual study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Other designations</th>
<th>Origin &amp; comment</th>
<th>Isolated by author/ref.</th>
<th>H '86</th>
<th>S '88</th>
<th>M '88</th>
<th>MS '90</th>
<th>HKC '93</th>
<th>WAC '96</th>
<th>DG '99</th>
<th>KMK '01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>ATCC 19171, NCDO2221</td>
<td>cow, T</td>
<td>BS'56</td>
<td>I-A</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>r1/II</td>
<td>bk</td>
<td>Ts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A38</td>
<td>ATCC 27208, NCDO2222</td>
<td>cow</td>
<td>BS'56</td>
<td>I-A</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>r2/II</td>
<td>bk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>NCDO2223</td>
<td>cow</td>
<td>BS'56</td>
<td>IV-B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>r8/III</td>
<td>tf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H17c</td>
<td>steer</td>
<td>D'66</td>
<td>IV-B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GGAA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE51</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>SGK'69</td>
<td>IV-C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE52</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>SGK'69</td>
<td>IV-C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>GR'70</td>
<td>IV-C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 835</td>
<td>NCDO2398</td>
<td>heifer</td>
<td>H'73</td>
<td>I-B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>r3/II</td>
<td>tf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9a</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>D'77</td>
<td>I-B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E21c</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>D'77</td>
<td>I-B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOR-37</td>
<td>NCDO2249</td>
<td>cow</td>
<td>SR'72</td>
<td>I-B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>r9/III</td>
<td>tf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-2</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>HD'79</td>
<td>I-B</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1L6-31</td>
<td>NCDO2400</td>
<td>cow</td>
<td>SR'72</td>
<td>I-C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>r9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARD-22a</td>
<td>reindeer</td>
<td>D'75</td>
<td>II-A</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF3</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>LD'85</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF3c, CF3a, CF4c</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>LD'85</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X6C61</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>van Gylswyk (from S'88)</td>
<td>IV-A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-32</td>
<td>pig</td>
<td>Allison (from S'88)</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV1</td>
<td>bison</td>
<td>Varel (from M'90)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC12491, UC12254</td>
<td>steer</td>
<td>Kotarslo (from MS'90)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-7</td>
<td>cow</td>
<td>H'86</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JK 662, JK 670</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>Kopečny</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JK 669</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>Kopečny</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GGAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu43</td>
<td>Ce64</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>van Gylswyk (from WAC'96)</td>
<td>r7/II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GGAA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2D62</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>van Gylswyk (from S'88)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GGAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JK 609, JK 612, JK 614, JK 615</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>Kopečny</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mz3, Mz 9</td>
<td>cow</td>
<td>ZMA'97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued overleaf
Moore \textit{et al.} (1976) defined new species \textit{Butyrivibrio crosso tus}, with characteristics similar to \textit{B. fibrisolvens}, on the basis of a morphological trait – lophotrichous flagella. It was isolated from human feces. Van Gyswyk \textit{et al.} (1996) created new genus \textit{Pseudobutyrivibrio} for those strains which phenotypically resembled strains placed in the genus \textit{Butyrivibrio} but did not hydrolyse xylan or starch, neither were proteolytic. The only species is \textit{P. ruminis}. The analyses of 16S rRNA (less than 95% of similarity to the closest related strains) confirmed this delineation.

Strains can be grouped also on the basis of chemotaxonomic methods – retrieving and analysing occurrence and quantity (ratios) of different chemical compounds present in selected organism. Chemical composition of the cell is genetically controlled and a highly stable trait that directly reflects diversity coded in the genome. Therefore the results of standardized methods can be used to establish taxonomic relationships among studied organisms. The most frequently studied are cell wall composition, lipid and polyamines profiles (Rosellló-Mora and Amann, 2001). Hespell \textit{et al.} (1993) analysed cell wall composition of some \textit{Butyrivibrio} strains and Stack (1988) and Mannarelli \textit{et al.} (1990) extracellular polysaccharides. The most extensive studies were done analysing cellular fatty acids (Miyagawa, 1982; Moore \textit{et al.}, 1994; Kopečný \textit{et al.}, 2001; Marinšek Logar \textit{et al.}, 2001) as fatty acid content of all lipids is determined by particular type of biosynthetic pathway of a given species (Welch, 1991). The major cellular constituents (especially cellular fatty acids) of species in a genus are relatively uniform and they can be useful in indicating the genus to which organisms with characteristics unlike those of any described species might be assigned or in excluding unknown strains from particular genera.

### Strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Other designations</th>
<th>Origin &amp; comment</th>
<th>Isolated by author/ref.</th>
<th>H '86</th>
<th>S '88</th>
<th>M '88</th>
<th>MS '90</th>
<th>HKC '93</th>
<th>WAC '96</th>
<th>DG '99</th>
<th>KMK '01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OB 156</td>
<td>white-tailed deer, GM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JK 10/1, JK 86, JK 170, JK 626</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mz7</td>
<td>cow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR11</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Butyrivibrio crosso tus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T9-40A</th>
<th>ATCC 29175, NCDO2416</th>
<th>human, T</th>
<th>MJH'76</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>r12/1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Pseudobutyrivibrio ruminis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A12-1</th>
<th>DSM 9787</th>
<th>cow, T</th>
<th>GHR'96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:


**Origin & comment:** T = type strain; GM = \textit{B. fibrisolvens} that was successfully genetically modified by Gregg \textit{et al.} (1994)

* = the strain was used in the study; other designations are original group designations as labelled in the original papers by the authors.
(Moore et al., 1994). Results of all the studies point out that strains currently forming one species differ significantly in these characteristics. This fact reflects the diversity in the genus *Butyrivibrio* and supports the need for new species formation.

Table 2. Methods used by different authors for classifying the strains of the genus *Butyrivibrio*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METHOD</th>
<th>MHH '64</th>
<th>SGK '69</th>
<th>H '86</th>
<th>S '88</th>
<th>M '88</th>
<th>TG '85</th>
<th>HG '89</th>
<th>MS '90</th>
<th>HKC '93</th>
<th>F'96 &amp;'97</th>
<th>WAC '96</th>
<th>DG '99</th>
<th>MZK '01</th>
<th>KMK '01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16S rRNA sequences analyses</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA-DNA hybridisation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS analyses</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell wall mAb</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermentation of different sugars and enzyme activities</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermentation products</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAMEs</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of strains included</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6 and 127</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method accordance</td>
<td>div.</td>
<td>div.</td>
<td>div.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of suggested groups</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3g/12r</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>min 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Authors:
MHH '64 = Margherita et al., 1964
SGK '69 = Shane et al., 1969
TG '85 = van der Toorn and van Gylswyk, 1985
H '86 = Hazlewood et al., 1986
S '88 = Stack, 1988
M '88 = Mannarelli, 1988
HG '89 = Hudman and Gregg, 1989
MS '90 = Mannarelli et al., 1990
HKC '93 = Hespell et al., 1993
WAC '96 = Willems et al., 1996
F '96 & '97 = Forester et al., 1996 and 1997
DG '99 = Diez-Gonzales et al., 1999
KMK '01 = Kopečny et al., 2001
MZK '01 = Marinšek Logar et al., 2001

+ = the method was applied by the author
Method accordance:
div. = just diversity was confirmed
- = only one method was used
yes = methods were in accordance
Number of suggested groups:
no = no grouping was made
3 g/12r = 12 ribotypes in 3 different phylogenetic lineages.

**CONCLUSION**

Great phenotypic and genotypic diversity of *Butyrivibrio* strains was observed and confirmed by different authors since the genus was established by Bryant and Small in 1956. Therefore the need for defining new species within this genus or even placing some of the strains in closely related genera *Pseudobutyrivibrio* and *Clostridium* is evident. In order to reclassify those strains, not rarely just one trait of *Butyrivibrio* strains was analysed (especially 16S rRNA) and also represented the basis for the putative reclassification. This approach is not appropriate and can not represent the only argument for such an act. It can have just an orientation value and can direct further analyses of other characteristics. On the other hand there are also studies where
more characteristics were analysed and grouping of the strains was based on combination of the results (Table 2). For revealing new relations between strains and for defining and describing new species the polyphasic approach has to be applied (Rosellló-Mora and Amann, 2001), hence the later approach is more appropriate. As many as possible phenotypic and genomic characteristics of as many as possible strains have to be analysed and described. It would be ideal to use joined forces of many laboratories that would examine the same strains by methods they are most skilful in and have good analytical techniques. Results given would represent strong argument for the reclassification. There is also a need to define a set of relatively simple but reliable identification tests in order to identify and classify new isolates in previously defined and established species and genera. Publishing the article in the International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology (former Int J Syst Bacteriol) (IJSEM, 2002; Euzéby, 1997) would grant new species naming and standing in taxonomy. Such an approach already gave results in two new species definition (Kopečný et al., 2002), but there are many strains that have to be analysed jet and new species will likely be defined in the near future.

POVZETEK

Vamp je kompleksen ekosistem, ki ga sestavljajo predstavniki velikega števila mikroorganizmov. Ti igrajo različne vloge pri razgradnji hrane in funkciji vampa. Vampne mikroorganizme so raziskovalci intenzivno proučevali in jih razvrstili v več rodov in vrst na osnovi določenih lastnosti. Tako so paličaste anaerobne bakterije, ki so se barvale po gramu negativno, niso sporulirale in so glukozo fermentirale do znatnih količin maslene kisline, uvrstili v rodo Butyrivibrio z edino vampno vrsto B. fibrisolvens. Predstavniki te vrste imajo kar nekaj zanimivih lastnosti za potencialno biotehnološko uporabo. Že tvorba maslene kisline je pomembna za delovanje in vzdrževanje zdravega stanja črevesa. Poleg tega so butirivibrij eni izmed redkih vampnih producentov konjugirane linolne kisline (CLA), s kopico blagodejnih učinkov (antikancerogeno delovanje, zmanjševanje nastanka arterioskleroze in vsebnosti maščob v tkivu). Tudi njihovi bakteriocini (butirivibriocini) bi lahko našli podobna polja uporabe kot že uveljavljeni bakteriocini mlečnikislinskih bakterij. Poleg tega imajo na voljo obsežen hidrolitični encimski sistem, ki sodeluje pri učinkoviti prebavi krme. Že utemeljitelja tega rodu pa sta opazila veliko raznolikost sevov, ki jih takrat še nista uvrstila v več vrst. Tudi raziskovalci, ki so proučevali tako genomske kot fenotipske lastnosti butirivibrijev, so to ugotovitev potrdili. Nekateri so seve na podlagi rezultatov svojih analiz razporedili v več skupin. Te razdelitve je težko primerjati med seboj, saj nobena študija ne obsega vseh pomembnejših sevov kot tudi vseh metod. Za definiranje novih vrst, oziroma mogoče prerazporeitve v nov rod, bi bilo potrebno proučiti kar se da največ sevov z različnimi metodami. Tak polifazni pristop bi lahko utemeljil definiranje novih vrst sevov iz današnjega rodu Butyrivibrio. V najnovejši objavi (Kopečný et al., 2002) so s takim pristopom avtorji uspeli definirati dve novi vrsti. Vseeno pa bo potrebno proučiti še mnogo sevov in zelo verjetno je, da bo kmalu opredeljeno še več novih vrst v tem rodu.
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